SANDRA KURT

2018 JUL 30 AM 10: 20

SUMMIT COUNTY CHEPHEICOURIDOF COMMON PLEAS

COUNTY OF SUMMIT

MEMBER WILLIAMS, et al.) CASE NO. CV 2016 09 3928
Plaintiffs) JUDGE JAMES A. BROGAN) (Sitting by Assignment #18JA1214
-VS-)
KISLING, NESTICO & REDICK, LLC, et al.) <u>DECISION</u>
Defendants))

On February 28, 2018, the Defendants filed their objections and answers to Plaintiffs' first request for inspection, third set of interrogatories, third request for admissions, and fifth set of requests for production of documents.

The Court will defer ruling on the Plaintiffs' request to inspect and test all systems or databases in Defendants' custody on which their emails are stored until Plaintiffs complete their depositions of the Defendants. The Defendants' objections to interrogatories 2 and 3 are sustained until this case has been certified as a class action. The Court sustains the Defendants' objections to interrogatories 4 and 5, but overrules Defendants' objection to interrogatory 6. The Court overrules the Defendants' objections to Plaintiffs' request for admissions 1, 2 and 4. The Court overrules the Defendants' objection to request for production no. 1, but sustains the Defendant's objection to Plaintiffs' request no. 2 because lawsuits are a matter of public record. The Defendants' objection to Plaintiffs' third and fourth request for production of documents is sustained.

On February 28, 2018, the Defendants filed their responses to Plaintiffs' second set of interrogatories. The Defendants' objections to interrogatories 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 15, 16, 18, 24, 26, 27, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 42, 46 and 47 are overruled. The remaining objections to the 47 interrogatories propounded are sustained.

On February 28, 2018, the Defendants filed their responses to Plaintiffs' third set of request for production of documents to all Defendants. The Defendants' objections to requests 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 14, 20, 27, 28, 29, 30, 35, 37, 38, 41, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 62 and 63 are all overruled. The remaining objections are sustained.

On the same day, February 28, 2018, the Defendants filed their <u>amended</u> responses to Plaintiffs' <u>first</u> set of requests for production of documents to all Defendants. The Defendants' objection to request 1 is overruled. The Defendants' objections to requests 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9 and 11 are sustained. The Defendants' objections to interrogatories 7 and 10 are overruled. On March 30, 2018, the Defendants filed their <u>amended</u> answers to Plaintiffs' first set of interrogatories to all Defendants. The Defendants' objections to interrogatories 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12 and 16 are overruled. The other objections to the other interrogatories are sustained.

On February 28, 2018, the Defendants filed their first <u>amended</u> responses to Plaintiffs' fourth set of requests for production of documents to all Defendants. The Defendants' objections to requests 1, 3 and 4 are sustained. The objection to request 2 is overruled.

On April 5, 2018, the Defendants' filed their <u>amended</u> answers to Plaintiffs' first set of interrogatories to all Defendants. The Court overrules the Defendants' objections to the following interrogatories: 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12 and 16. The remaining objections of the Defendants are sustained.

On April 5, 2018, the Defendants filed their responses to Plaintiffs' second set of request for admissions. The Plaintiffs requested that the Defendants make eighty-eight separate admissions. The Court overrules all of the Defendants' objections except those to the following requests: 4, 5, 6, 9, 11, 15, 56, 58, 59, 60, 82, 85, 86, 87 and 88.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

JUDGE JAMES A. BROGA

Sitting by Assignment #18JA1214

Pursuant to Art. IV, Sec. 6

Ohio Constitution

The Clerk of Courts shall serve all parties of record.

JAB:lcb 16-3928d